

## MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE CITY COUNCIL

held at the Council House, Nottingham,

on Monday 6 March 2006 at 2.00 pm

### ATTENDANCES

Councillor Munir	Lord Mayor
Councillor Akhtar	Councillor G N Khan
Councillor Aslam	Councillor Klein
Councillor Bloomfield	Councillor Lee
Councillor Bull	Councillor Liversidge
Councillor Campbell	Councillor Long
Councillor Chapman	Councillor Malcolm
Councillor Charlesworth	Councillor Markin
Councillor A Clark	Councillor Marshall
Councillor C A Clarke	Councillor Mathews
Councillor B Clarke-Smith	Councillor Mir
Councillor Cobb	Councillor Morris
Councillor Collins	Councillor Packer
Councillor Cowan	Councillor Palmer
Councillor Cresswell	Councillor Parbutt
Councillor Culley	Councillor Price
Councillor Dewinton	Councillor Shaw
Councillor Edwards	Councillor J W E Smith
Councillor Foster	Councillor Spencer
Councillor Gibson	Councillor Stapleton
Councillor Griggs	Councillor Stephenson
Councillor Grocock	Councillor Sutton
Councillor Hartshorne	Councillor Taylor
Councillor Haymes	Councillor Trimble
Councillor Heppell	Councillor Unczur
Councillor Ibrahim	Councillor Urquhart
Councillor James	Councillor Wilson
Councillor A Khan	Councillor Wood

## **81 DECLARATIONS OF INTERESTS**

In respect of agenda item 6 – Budget 2006/07, the following members declared interests:-

Councillor Cowan declared a personal and non-prejudicial interest as a Council appointed director of Enviroenergy Limited, which did not preclude him from speaking or voting on that item.

Councillor Culley declared a personal and non-prejudicial interest as a Council appointed director of Nottingham City Homes Limited, which did not preclude her from speaking or voting on that item.

Councillor Clarke-Smith declared a personal and non-prejudicial interest as a Council appointed member of the Operational Board – South, Nottingham City Homes Limited, which did not preclude him from speaking or voting on that item.

## **82 PETITIONS AND QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC**

### **Petition**

Councillor Mathews submitted a petition to the Lord Mayor on behalf of Nottingham Against Incineration and Landfill. The petition opposed any further expansion, and supported the closure, of Eastcroft Incinerator. The petition also opposed the sending to landfill of waste which could be recycled or composted and proposed the development of separate collections of recyclable waste, such as paper, glass, steel and aluminium, and of kitchen and garden waste for composting.

### **Question**

#### **Use of bus lanes by Hackney Carriages / Taxis**

The Lord Mayor asked the following question of the Portfolio Holder for Transport and Street Services, on behalf of Mr M Hussain, Secretary to Nottingham Licensed Taxi Owners and Drivers Association:-

Why are the Nottingham City's Hackney Carriages / Taxis (green coloured London-style cabs) not allowed in the City's Bus Lanes?

Councillor Grocock replied as follows:-

Thank you, Lord Mayor.

For several years the development of an efficient reliable local bus service has been one of the most important methods used to address this situation. Punctuality and rapid journey times rely on buses being able to move freely into and out of the City Centre at peak times. Quite clearly if people see they can travel quickly and easier on buses and at a reasonable cost, they could be persuaded to switch their mode of transport, thereby helping to reduce the city's congestion problems. Increased bus patronage in Nottingham compared to a national decline is the evidence of success of the current public transport strategy.

Hackney taxi drivers are not the only group of road users who would like to benefit from being allowed to legally use bus lanes. We also have requests from the private hire drivers, motorcyclists, transport hauliers, local delivery companies and several other road user groups. This matter has been regularly reviewed in the past and the policy has been to keep bus lanes clear for buses thereby maximising the public transport benefits. Cyclists were authorised to use bus lanes for safety reasons and to positively promote cycling as a healthy, pollution-free mode of transport.

The second reason for excluding taxis from bus lanes is enforcement. Our bus lanes are already subject to widespread abuse in some locations and current enforcement mechanisms and resources are far from adequate to impact on these occurrences. It is considered that allowing taxis to use bus lanes would increase this abuse.

Lord Mayor, in other towns and cities I am aware that various classes of vehicles are allowed in bus lanes. This is an issue for each local authority to decide on. So far in Nottingham's developing transport strategy it has always been considered best to resist the wishes of other road users who would like access to city bus lanes. However, important changes in the law have recently provided the opportunity for further reconsideration of this matter. In particular, by the end of this year it might be possible for the City Council to have taken on new decriminalisation powers to enforce bus lane restrictions for itself and reuse income from those penalty charges to finance the cost of this work. This would be a similarly successful approach of this Council as applied over the recent years to control parking.

Lord Mayor, officers are currently working on a proposal which will be presented to the Executive Board later this year. In the near future, therefore, members will be able to consider with more confidence whether, under tightly controlled circumstances, some taxis may be able to use bus lanes in this area.

Thank you, my Lord Mayor.

### **83     MINUTES**

**RESOLVED that the minutes of the meeting held on 6 February 2006 be confirmed and signed by the Lord Mayor.**

### **84     QUESTIONS**

#### **Winter Olympics**

Councillor Gibson asked the following question of the Portfolio Holder for Culture, Community Services and Tourism:-

Would the Portfolio Holder for Culture, Community Services and Tourism please join with me in extending his warm regards to our local Olympians who recently competed in the Winter Olympics in Torino? Would he further join with me in wishing the best of luck to all the competitors, local and otherwise, who will be competing in the Paralympics which have just got under way?

Councillor Unczur replied as follows:-

Thank you, my Lord Mayor, and Councillor Gibson for your question.

First of all I would like to put on record my sincere congratulations to all those that took part in the Winter Olympics, whether they be from the city or from elsewhere, but particularly those from this city. I think that we should congratulate those who have struck the medals and we must also give our well wishes to paralympic competitors in the next few weeks.

I would also like to take a little time, if I may, to wish the best of luck to our GB Sledge Hockey team who are heading off for the Paralympics in Torino on Monday 6<sup>th</sup>.

I think it's appropriate to say something briefly about the role of the Council in highlighting the benefits of sport as both enjoyable and fun and in terms of its health benefits. Examples like our Olympians and Paralympians make it much easier to promote a positive message of sport and physical activity.

We are of course supporting budding Olympians in Nottingham with the 11 city residents who have been selected to represent Great Britain at the Junior World Synchrone Championships in 2006 in Helsinki. The eleven youngsters are members of the Icicles team which trains at the Nottingham Ice Centre and we have made a grand contribution to them of £1,650 towards their costs, so Nottingham City Council is doing its bit.

Thank you, my Lord Mayor.

### **Tram Passenger Ridership**

Councillor Ibrahim asked the following question of the Portfolio Holder for Transport and Street Services:-

Would the Portfolio Holder for Transportation and Street Services care to comment on the projected tram passenger ridership as we approach the end of the second full year of running? How does he believe the Minister's comments about the Nottingham system bode for future decisions about funding for lines 2 and 3?

Councillor Grocock replied as follows:-

Thank you, my Lord Mayor. Can I thank Councillor Ibrahim for his question.

Once again in this Chamber, Lord Mayor, I am pleased to inform Councillor Ibrahim and fellow members that the tram ridership has exceeded expectations. This time last year we were able to announce that 8.4 million trips had been made in the first 12 months of its operation. This was significantly above the prediction of between 7.5 and 8 million passengers and in this, the second year, we hoped over 9 million trips would be made. There have been about 9.7 in actual fact, and passengers are pleased with the service.

A recent survey showed overall satisfaction with the service is 94% with 98% of those questioned stating they would recommend using the tram to other people, family and friends.

This demonstrates that we have introduced a first class transport system that is serving a growing demand and complementing other modes of transport with both Nottingham City Transport (NCT) and Trent running bus feeder services and 'park and ride' making up 25% of the tram passengers. Overall, within the tram corridor, public transport trips are up 20% in its peak compared with before the tram.

The survey also found that 80% of those questioned wanted to see the NET extended to further routes.

Lord Mayor, the success of Line 1 has been recognised with Government and the Secretary of State for Transport, Alistair Darling, who last week commented positively on this and said he hoped to make a decision on funding of the NET Phase 2 soon. Although we are disappointed that the decision has been much delayed, it is pleasing that the Secretary of State recognises that Nottingham has got it right with its tram. The prospects for the outcome before the summer are good and officers dealing with the Department of Transport officials remain positive that the decision will be favourable.

I am sure that the majority of members in this Chamber would endorse those comments and would look to its endeavours for Phase 2 of the tram to be with us as soon as possible.

Thank you, Lord Mayor.

## **Education 2 Employment (E2E) Learning Scheme**

Councillor James asked the following question of the Portfolio Holder for Children's Services:-

Would the Portfolio Holder for Children's Services care to comment on the success rate in Nottingham of the E2E Learning Scheme?

Councillor Chapman replied as follows:-

Thank you, Lord Mayor, and can I thank Councillor James for his question.

The Education to Employment Scheme, the E2E Scheme, has seven programmes offering a range of training to young people with a history of not engaging in education and learning. The programmes range from catering to painting and decorating to building trades, all practical skills. Of the 83 young people starting last year, 31 are from Black and Minority Ethnic (BME) backgrounds, 16 have been in care and another 16 have been referred in by the Council's Pupil Referral Unit, so they are not an easy group of young people to inspire and to get into jobs. Yet this scheme has been achieving between 52% and 62% success rate of trainees into jobs. This is several points above the national average, which is 49%.

It's a scheme which works. It is socially invaluable not just for those who benefit, but for employers too. For example, at the last ceremony which awarded prizes for the best trainees I believe the trainee of the year was offered 2 jobs on the very day he received his prize. So obviously it's a ceremony which attracts employers.

In addition, this is beneficial for the community because, after all, it is infinitely better to spend energy getting young people into useful activity, than risk them becoming involved in crime and anti-social behaviour. It is therefore a scheme to which I am personally very committed and which we intend to roll out throughout the City.

It is currently operating in St. Ann's and there is a base in Wollaton. We have recently established another base in Aspley and the next steps are for Bulwell, Radford and Broxtowe and we would also be hoping to move into places like Bilborough and Forest Fields in the future. So eventually we do want to cover the whole city with the scheme, which I think is laudable, but it is also very well managed and producing the right sort of results.

Thank you, Lord Mayor.

## **Nottingham City Homes (NCH) Audit Commission Report**

Councillor Marshall asked the following question of the Portfolio Holder for Housing and Social Services:-

What impact will the failure of NCH to achieve two star status have on the tenants of Nottingham City Homes?

Councillor Trimble replied as follows:-

Thank you, Lord Mayor, and can I thank Councillor Marshall for his question.

Failure to achieve the 2 star status means that the decent homes investment bid will not be immediately available. The £165 million bid was for bridging the gap of money required up to 2010. There will still be a sizeable decent homes programme for 2006/07, but not as big as it would have been if we had achieved 2 stars. What we need to know is that there will be £43 million spent on our public sector housing next year and a sizeable amount of that will be on decent homes.

Nottingham City Homes will have to be re-inspected to achieve the 2 star status. At that point Nottingham City Homes will have to work with their capital partners, they have got 5 major capital partners, to achieve Decent Homes by 2010, if the Government still stick by that target.

I believe it is extremely important that both the Council and Nottingham City Homes work with and listen to tenants to build confidence and success in the future.

Thank you, Lord Mayor.

### **NCH Audit Commission**

Councillor Foster asked the following question of the Portfolio Holder for Housing and Social Services:-

What actions are the Council going to be taking in the light of the recent Audit Commission inspection report on Nottingham City Homes?

Councillor Trimble replied as follows:-

Thank you, Lord Mayor, and can I thank Councillor Foster for his question

I think it is extremely important that both Nottingham City Homes and the City Council learn the lessons of this inspection and take the necessary steps to make service improvements.

The report's recommendations clearly spell out what is expected of Nottingham City Homes to achieve 2 stars. In order to satisfy itself that Nottingham City Homes has a robust action plan to address the recommendations and meet the Audit Commission's required standards the Council intends to have Nottingham City Homes' action plan vetted by an independent organisation to ensure that it is robust; secondly to set up an Accountability Group of Members and Senior Officers to monitor the performance of Nottingham City Homes, as well as the work that scrutiny will clearly do; thirdly to ensure that Nottingham City Homes undergo mock inspections to ensure that they are up to the standard for re-inspection. I also believe that we have to work collaboratively with the Board and that we need to boost the political representation on the Board.

Thank you, Lord Mayor.

## **85     BUDGET 2006/07**

The report of Councillor Edwards (as set out on page 257 of the agenda) was submitted and seconded by Councillor Collins.

MOVED by Councillor Edwards by way of amendment and seconded by Councillor Collins:-

In recommendation 2 that "noted" be replaced with "approved", so that the amended recommendation reads:-

"(2) that the capital programme 2006/09 be approved"

In recommendation 3 add after "approved"

“subject to the following:-

that £494,627, a one-off sum awarded in 2005/06 under the “Local Authority Business Growth Incentive” scheme, be released from Reserves to support expenditure on residential transformation (£200,000) and the remainder (£294,627) applied to support Revenue spending in 2006/07”;

and amend the following recommendations as indicated:-

- in recommendation 5(a) substitute £817,397,806 for £817,197,806;
- in recommendation 5(b) substitute £591,308,363 for £590,813,736;
- in recommendation 5(c) substitute £226,089,443 for £226,384,070;
- in recommendation 5(e) substitute £1,181.56 for £1,185.58
- in recommendation 5(f) substitute the following City Council taxes for the ones shown in the report:-

<b>Band</b>	<b>City Council</b>
<b>A</b>	787.71
<b>B</b>	918.99
<b>C</b>	1,050.28
<b>D</b>	1,181.56
<b>E</b>	1,444.13
<b>F</b>	1,706.70
<b>G</b>	1,969.27
<b>H</b>	2,363.12

- in recommendation 9 substitute the following aggregate council taxes for those shown in the report:-

<b>Band</b>	<b>Aggregate</b>
<b>A</b>	916.44
<b>B</b>	1,069.17
<b>C</b>	1,221.92
<b>D</b>	1,374.65
<b>E</b>	1,680.13
<b>F</b>	1,985.60
<b>G</b>	2,291.09
<b>H</b>	2,749.30

After discussion the amendment was put to the vote and was carried.

MOVED by Councillor Long by way of amendment and seconded by Councillor Stephenson:-

In recommendation 3 add after “approved”

“subject to the following:-

that further efficiency savings be applied as follows:-

- reduce the proposed budget within the Corporate Services Department by £240,000; this to be achieved through a review of the management structure and through reductions in running costs;
- reduce the proposed budget within the City Development Department by £70,000; this to be achieved through administrative savings (£50,000) and increases in sponsorship income (£20,000);
- reduce the proposed budget within the Education Department by £100,000; this to be achieved through a review of directorate costs;
- reduce the proposed budget within Leisure and Community Services of £170,000; this to be achieved through a review of

the Management structure (£120,000) and increases in sponsorship income (£50,000);

- reduce the proposed budget within the Neighbourhood Services Department by £160,000; this to be achieved through reductions in running costs (£40,000) and a review of directorate costs (£120,000);
- reduce the proposed budget within the Social Services Department by £50,000; this to be achieved through a rationalisation of support services staff;

and that the following proposed developments are deleted:-

- Contact Centre, providing a reduction of £400,000;
- Serving Nottingham Better – Improving performance, providing a reduction of £500,000;

and that the following proposed Special Items are deleted:-

- Old Market Square (OMS) Festival, providing a reduction of £300,000;
- Nottingham In Bloom, providing a reduction of £200,000;

and that the following increases in proposed budgets are applied:-

- Corporate Services budgets to be increased by £50,000 in respect of improved switchboard operation;
- Neighbourhood Services budget to be increased by £530,000 in respect of the implementation of the recommendations of Anti Social Behaviour Task & Finish Panel (ASB T&F) (£180,000), carriageway and footway resurfacing (£250,000) and tree trimming (£100,000);
- Social Services Department budgets in respect of Occupational Therapy to be increased by £300,000 and specifically applied to Occupational Therapists (£100,000), Community Care Officers

(£100,000), Contribution to ICES (£50,000) and Minor Adaptations (£50,000);

and that the proposed efficiency saving within the City Development Department relating to the Trading Standards and Noise Nuisance (£53,000) is deleted;

and that Corporate Services budget is increased by £500,000 in 2006/07 in respect of a special item for Serving Nottingham Better – Improving Performance”.

And amend the following recommendations as indicated:-

- in recommendation 5(a) substitute £816,710,806 for £817,397,806;
- in recommendation 5(b) substitute £591,378,363 for £591,308,363;
- in recommendation 5(c) substitute £225,332,443 for £226,089,443;
- in recommendation 5(e) substitute £1,171.24 for £1,181.56;
- in recommendation 5(f) substitute the following City Council taxes for the ones shown in the report:-

<b>Band</b>	<b>City Council</b>
<b>A</b>	780.83
<b>B</b>	910.96
<b>C</b>	1,041.10
<b>D</b>	1,171.24
<b>E</b>	1,431.52
<b>F</b>	1,691.79
<b>G</b>	1,952.07
<b>H</b>	2,342.48

- in recommendation (9) substitute the following aggregate council taxes for those shown in the report:-

<b>Band</b>	<b>Aggregate</b>
<b>A</b>	909.56
<b>B</b>	1,061.14
<b>C</b>	1,212.74
<b>D</b>	1,364.33
<b>E</b>	1,667.52
<b>F</b>	1,970.69
<b>G</b>	2,273.89
<b>H</b>	2,728.66

After discussion the amendment was put to the vote and was not carried.

MOVED by Councillor Cowan by way of amendment and seconded by Councillor Culley:-

In recommendation 3 add after “approved”

“subject to the following:-

that a sum of £1,052.418 held as provisions relating to Dilapidations (£138,629), Broadmarsh (£644,290) and Environment (£269,499) be released to support revenue spending in 2006/07;

that a sum of £652,955 of unearmarked reserves be released to support revenue spending in 2006/07”; and

amend the following recommendations as follows:-

- in recommendation 5(b) substitute £593,013,736 for £591,308,363;
- in recommendation 5(c) substitute £224,384,070 for £226,089,443;
- in recommendation 5(e) substitute £1,158.31 for £1,181.56;
- in recommendation 5(f) substitute the following City Council taxes for the ones shown in the report:-

<b>Band</b>	<b>City Council</b>
<b>A</b>	772.21
<b>B</b>	900.91
<b>C</b>	1,029.61
<b>D</b>	1,158.31
<b>E</b>	1,415.71
<b>F</b>	1,673.11
<b>G</b>	1,930.52
<b>H</b>	2,316.62

- in recommendation (9) substitute the following aggregate council taxes for those shown in the report:-

<b>Band</b>	<b>Aggregate</b>
<b>A</b>	900.94
<b>B</b>	1,051.09
<b>C</b>	1,201.25
<b>D</b>	1,351.40
<b>E</b>	1,651.71
<b>F</b>	1,952.01
<b>G</b>	2,252.34
<b>H</b>	2,702.80

After discussion the amendment was put to the vote and was not carried.

MOVED by Councillor Culley by way of amendment and seconded by Councillor Cowan:-

In recommendation 3 add after “approved”:-

“subject to the following:-

in the Medium Term Financial Plan – General Fund Revenue Budget 2006/2007

(a) in Appendix D Special Items:-

- (i) delete S3 (OMS Festival) £300,000 and S5 (Nottingham in Bloom) £200,000; and

(ii) add

‘S7 Wardens’ Service £447,000

Additional Community Protection Officers (Wardens) such that each Area Committee area has a minimum establishment of 9 Wardens.’;

- (b) in Appendix E Departmental Savings delete item CD1 Environmental and Public Protection Division £53,000; and
- (c) amend the totals of Special Items and of Departmental Savings accordingly.”

After discussion the amendment was put to the vote and was not carried.

MOVED by Councillor Cobb by way of amendment and seconded by Councillor Cowan:-

In recommendation 3 add after “approved”:-

“subject to the following:-

in the Medium Term Financial Plan – General Fund Revenue Budget 2006/2007, in Appendix C Developments:-

- (a) delete item CS1 Contact Centre £400k;
- (b) amend the provision for item SS1 Growth Due to (Adult) Demographics from £800,000 to £1.05 million; and
- (c) amend the provision for item SS3 ICES from £150,000 to £250,000; and

in Appendix E Departmental Savings delete item SS1 Creating Higher Maximum Charge – All User Groups £50,000; and amend the totals for Appendices C and E accordingly.”

After discussion the amendment was put to the vote and was not carried.

MOVED by Councillor Cobb by way of amendment and seconded by Councillor Cowan:-

In recommendation 2 add after “approved”:-

“subject to the following:-

in Medium Term Financial Plan – Capital Programme 2006 – 2009

- (a) in Appendix D(4) delete item AAO1231 Corporate Contact Centre and amend the totals for the Corporate Block appropriately; and
- (b) in Appendix D(2) ‘Local Transport Plan’ item ADG0401 ‘Footway maintenance’ amend Estimated Total to ‘£2,000,000’, amend 2006/2007 to ‘£1,500,000’ and amend 2007/2008 to ‘£500,000’ and amend Total – Maintenance and Grand Total appropriately.”

After discussion the amendment was put to the vote and was not carried.

MOVED by Councillor Clarke-Smith by way of amendment and seconded by Councillor Cowan:-

In recommendation 3 add after “approved”:-

“subject to the following:-

in the Medium Term Financial Plan – General Fund Revenue Budget 2006/2007

- (a) in Appendix C Development increase the provision for item Y1 Youth Service from £500,000 to £750,000; and
- (b) reduce any budget provision for publicity and marketing by £250,000 including deleting the budget for Nottingham Arrow (£90,000), any budget provision relating to or available for City Reputation Management (£150,000) and Corporate Hospitality Tourism (£10,000).”

After discussion the amendment was put to the vote and was not carried.

**After further discussion the substantive motion, as amended, was put to the vote and was carried and the Council RESOLVED:-**

- (1) that the overall Treasury Management Strategy for 2006/2007, including the Investment Strategy for 2006/2007 and the Prudential Indicators, be approved;**
- (2) that the Capital Programme 2006/2009 be approved;**
- (3) that the revenue estimates for 2006/2007 be approved, subject to the following:-**

**that £494,627, a one-off sum awarded in 2005/06 under the “Local Authority Business Growth Incentive” scheme, be released from Reserves to support expenditure on residential transformation (£200,000) and the remainder (£294,627) applied to support Revenue spending in 2006/07;**

- (4) that it be noted that, in January 2006, the Council calculated the amount of 73,341.9 as its council tax base for the year 2006/07 in accordance with regulation 3 of the Local Authorities (Calculation of Council Tax Base) Regulations 1992 made under Section 33(5) of the Local Government Finance Act 1992;**
- (5) that the following amounts be now calculated by the Council for the year 2006/07 in accordance with Sections 32 to 36 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992 (“the Act”):-**
  - (a) £817,397,806 being the aggregate of the amounts which the Council estimates for the items set out in Section 32(2)(a) to (e) of the Act;**
  - (b) £591,308,363 being the aggregate of the amounts which the Council estimates for the items set out in Section 32(3)(a) to (c) of the Act;**
  - (c) £226,089,443 being the amount by which the aggregate at (5)(a) above exceeds the aggregate at (5)(b) above,**

calculated by the Council, in accordance with Section 32(4) of the Act, as its budget requirement for the year;

- (d) £139,431,380 being the aggregate of the sums which the Council estimates will be payable for the year into its General Fund in respect of the estimated formula grant, reduced by the amount of the sums which the Council estimates will be transferred in the year from its General Fund to its Collection Fund in accordance with section 97(4) of the Local Government Finance Act 1988, increased by the amount of the sums which the Council estimates will be transferred in the year from its Collection Fund to its General Fund in accordance with section 97(3) of the Local Government Finance Act 1988, reduced by the amount of any sum which the Council estimates will be transferred from its General Fund to its Collection Fund pursuant to the Collection Fund (Community Charges) directions under section 98(5) of the Local Government Finance Act 1988 made on 7th February 1994 and increased by the amount of any sum which the Council estimates will be transferred from its Collection Fund to its General Fund pursuant to the Collection Fund (Community Charges) directions under section 98(4) of the Local Government Finance Act 1988 made on 7 February 1994;
- (e) £1,181.56 being the amount at (5)(c) above less the amount at (5)(d) above, all divided by the amount at (4) above, calculated by the Council, in accordance with Section 33(1) of the Act, as the basic amount of its council tax for the year;

(f) Valuation Bands

A	B	C	D	E
£787.71	£918.99	£1,050.28	£1,181.56	£1,444.13
F	G	H		
£1,706.70	£1,969.27	£2,363.12		

being the amounts given by multiplying the amount at (5)(e) above by the number which, in the proportion set out in section 5(1) of the Act, is applicable to dwellings listed in a particular valuation band divided by the number which in that proportion is applicable to dwellings listed in valuation band D, calculated by the Council, in accordance with Section 36(1) of the Act, as the amounts to be taken into account for the year in respect of categories of dwellings listed in different valuation bands;

- (6) that the functions of the City Council with regard to council tax, non-domestic rate and residual poll tax, including their collection and recovery, continue to be exercised by the Executive Board;
- (7) that it be noted that, for the financial year 2006/2007, the Nottinghamshire Police Authority has issued the following amounts in precepts in accordance with Section 40 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992, for each of the categories of the dwellings shown below:-

<b>A</b>	<b>B</b>	<b>C</b>	<b>D</b>	<b>E</b>
<b>£88.16</b>	<b>£102.85</b>	<b>£117.55</b>	<b>£132.24</b>	<b>£161.63</b>
<b>F</b>	<b>G</b>	<b>H</b>		
<b>£191.01</b>	<b>£220.40</b>	<b>£264.48</b>		

- (8) that it be noted that, for the financial year 2006/2007, the Nottinghamshire and City of Nottingham Fire and Rescue Authority has issued the following amounts in precepts in accordance with Section 40 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992 (which has been amended by the Local Government Act 2003 to include Combined Fire Authorities), for each of the categories of the dwellings shown below:-

<b>A</b>	<b>B</b>	<b>C</b>	<b>D</b>	<b>E</b>
<b>£40.57</b>	<b>£47.33</b>	<b>£54.09</b>	<b>£60.85</b>	<b>£74.37</b>
<b>F</b>	<b>G</b>	<b>H</b>		
<b>£87.89</b>	<b>£101.42</b>	<b>£121.70</b>		

- (9) that the Council in accordance with Section 30(2) of the Local Government Finance Act 1992, hereby sets the following amounts as the council tax for the year 2006/2007 for each of the categories of dwellings shown below:-

A	B	C	D	E
£916.44	£1,069.17	£1,221.92	£1,374.65	£1,680.13
F	G	H		
£1,985.60	£2,291.09	£2,749.30		

- (10) that notice be published in accordance with the provisions of section 96(1) of the Local Government Finance Act 1992.

The meeting of the Council still being in progress at 9.30pm, in accordance with standing order 14, the remaining item was formally moved and seconded, without comment, and put to the vote without further debate.

## **86 ALCOHOL DESIGNATION ORDER IN HYSON GREEN TOWN CENTRE – RESULTS FROM CONSULTATION**

The report of Councillor Grocock (as set out on page 266 of the agenda) was submitted.

**RESOLVED on the motion of Councillor Grocock, seconded by Councillor Ibrahim that:-**

- (1) the representations received as a result of the consultation contained in Appendix 1 to the report be noted;
- (2) approval be given for the making of the Order in relation to the area shown by the plan at Appendix 2 of the report;
- (3) the Acting Director of Corporate Services be authorised to carry out all necessary steps for the making and publicising of the Order.

The meeting concluded at 9.31 pm.